

MINUTES
WOODSTOCK CITY COUNCIL
June 2, 2015
City Council Chambers

The regular meeting of the Woodstock City Council was called to order at 7:00 PM by Mayor Brian Sager on Tuesday, June 2, 2015 in Council Chambers at City Hall. Mayor Sager explained the consent calendar process and invited public participation. He also noted that the Council will be seating a new member this evening, which results in a different format to the Agenda, requiring two separate roll calls.

A roll call was taken.

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT: RB Thompson, Maureen Larson, Mark Saladin, Joseph Starzynski, Michael Turner, and Mayor Brian Sager

COUNCIL MEMBERS ABSENT: None

STAFF PRESENT: City Manager Roscoe Stelford, City Attorney Ruth Schlossberg, Finance Director Paul Christensen, Public Works Director Paul Ruscko, and Building and Zoning Director Joe Napolitano.

OTHERS PRESENT: City Clerk Cindy Smiley

C. Smiley affirmed that the Agenda before Council is a true and accurate presentation of the published agenda.

CONSENT AGENDA

Noting that the Consent Agenda will be considered in its entirety, Mayor Sager requested removal of Items B, C. and D.

M. Turner requested removal of Item G-4.

Motion by M. Turner, second by J. Starzynski to approve Consent Agenda Items A, E, F, and G1-3.

Mayor Sager opened the floor to questions concerning those items remaining on the Consent Agenda and the subject of the motion.

Joseph Monack, 343 S. Jefferson, requested clarification on the Credit Card Statement portion of the warrants, specifically the item for payment to BBQ King by Chief Lowen for a dinner with a representative of a State agency.

In response, R. Stelford noted that once each year, attendance at educational seminars is required as part of the liquor license renewal procedure per City Code. As part of these seminars, which are held at 3PM and 7PM on the same day, the City requests that a representative from the Secretary of State's Office make two presentations to liquor licensees. As Chief Lowen is the host of these seminars, he provides dinner to the SOS Representative between the seminars, which resulted in the charge in question.

In response to another inquiry from Mr. Monack, P. Christensen noted that the City's Department Directors are issued City credit cards to be used for City expenses. He noted that as only City expenses are charged to these cards, the staff members do not reimburse the City for these expenses. He further noted that the City prefers this method of payment as a rebate is received for using the credit cards.

RB Thompson commented on Item G-2, requesting that in the future Council be provided with a map indicating the streets and locations proposed for paving or patching, as has been done in past reports.

Concerning Item G-3, M. Saladin noted that normally he would have a concern with a grant being made conditional upon using a certain vendor. In this case, however, he wished to point out that the vendor specified in the grant, Musco Sports Lighting, LLC, is the premier lighting vendor for sports fields in the country. Mayor Sager also noted that this is part of the grant process and is a condition of the grant and that, further, this will be a green lighting project.

A. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS

May 15, 2015 City Council Workshop

May 19, 2015 City Council Meeting

E. WARRANTS: 3665 3666

F. MINUTES AND REPORTS

Police Department Report – April 2015

G. MANAGER'S REPORT NO. 47

1. Sidewalk Easement – 1000 Dean Street – Adoption of Ordinance 15-O-40, identified as Document No. 3, establishing a 4' wide sidewalk easement along the Highland Avenue frontage property at 1000 Dean Street.

2. Award of Contract – Asphalt Pavement Patching – Approval of an award of contract for asphalt pavement patching to the low bidder, Chicagoland Paving Contractors, for a total bid price of \$74,587.13.

3. Award of Contract – Emricson Main Field A Lighting - Approval to waive competitive bids and award of contract for Emricson Main Field A Lighting to Musco Sports Lighting, LLC for a cost not-to-exceed \$117,000.

A roll call vote was taken. Ayes: M. Larson, M. Saladin, J. Starzynski, RB Thompson, M. Turner, and Mayor Sager. Nays: None. Abstentions: None. Absentees: None. Motion carried unanimously.

ITEM B – Union Pacific Train Depot Lease Modifications

In response to a request from Mayor Sager, R. Schlossberg provided an update concerning this item. She noted that the City Administration has been working closely with Union Pacific to work out a resolution to the issues related to the train depot. This also allowed Union Pacific the

opportunity to review the lease which was originally agreed upon in 1985. This afternoon, subsequent to preparation and distribution of the Council packet, UP provided information that they are agreeable with the following provisions:

- 1) Union Pacific shall receive 50% of any rent for the train station actually collected by the City.
- 2) The City shall be responsible for paying, or requiring the subleasing tenant to pay, the first \$5,000 in improvements to the train station necessary to accommodate the unique operations of the subleasing tenant in the space. This will not include routine or ordinary building improvements. In all other events, the City shall be responsible for non-unique maintenance or capital improvement costs for any single item for the train station with a cost less than \$1,500 and Union Pacific shall be responsible for any cost equal to or above that amount.
- 3) As a condition of its approval of any sublease under this lease, Union Pacific may impose restrictions on video gaming, alcohol or other uses of the facility.

Again noting that this information was received this afternoon, Ms. Schlossberg stated that it was not possible to be drafted into final form. It is, therefore, recommended that Council approve an ordinance designating the authority to execute the lease amendment for the train station to Mayor Sager, City Manager Stelford and Councilman Saladin, as was previously approved for the sublease negotiations. This document would be subject to the approval of the City Attorney prior to execution to ensure it is consistent with the agreed-upon parameters.

A discussion followed of the rent parameters and the advantage of setting the amount as a percentage of the rent rather than a set monthly rental.

Mayor Sager again noted that the ordinance provided by R. Schlossberg for consideration and approval this evening would be authorizing Councilman Saladin, City Manager Stelford, and himself to execute the lease with Union Pacific which would reflect the changes and provisions as identified in Ms. Schlossberg's memo and presentation.

In response to a question from M. Larson, R. Schlossberg stated that as the terms of the lease for the train station have been agreed upon by UP, once they are agreed upon by Council, there should be no need to bring it back to Council meaning that Mr. Hart can be seated this evening.

Mayor Sager asked for any comments from the public on this item.

Lydia Baltalbos, 629 Dean Street, noted that she is here as a representative of a business entity. She asked whether the action being taken on this item is in keeping with Section E of the Open Meetings Act which requires any final action to be taken in an open meeting with five members present.

In response, R. Schlossberg noted that this action is appropriate and consistent with the Open Meetings Act. She further noted that this item was clearly stated on the agenda and that the public was made aware that Council would be considering amendments to the lease agreement for the train station. Ms. Schlossberg stated she is comfortable that the City is abiding by the Open Meetings Act.

Allen Stebbins, Chairman of the Historic Preservation Commission, noted that this structure is in the historic district, and asked who is responsible for the maintenance of the building.

R. Stelford replied that this would depend upon the extent of the improvements or maintenance. Mayor Sager also stated that these terms are spelled out in the current lease and have not been changed except as identified by Ms. Schlossberg this evening.

In response to a question from M. Larson, R. Schlossberg stated that the final lease will be a public document available to the public

Motion by M. Turner, second by M. Saladin, to approve Ordinance 15-O-41, Authorizing the Amendment to the Train Station Lease Agreement Between the City of Woodstock and the Union Pacific Railroad and authorizing Mayor Sager, following consultation with the City Manager and Councilman Saladin, to execute said amendment for the train passenger station located at 90 Church Street between the City and Union Pacific, subject to final attorney approval, provided that such amendment shall be substantially consistent with the provisions outlined in the Ordinance.

Kelly Liebman, Wonder Lake, inquired as to how many Council members have read the documents provided by the City Attorney as they were received so late.

In response, Mayor Sager stated that the contract is substantially the same as that passed in 1985 with the exception of the few changes noted this evening. He further noted that Staff has been working with UP for some time, the lease has been in effect since 1985, and these are minor changes. M. Turner noted that the Council has been discussing this item for the past few months.

Ellen O'Rourke, 435 Dacy Street, stated that her concern is with the process of the City Council. It is her opinion that this is a major change to the building and that three hours does not allow the public enough time to respond. Further, it is her opinion that this is a lack of due process for a major change to a business located on City property that abuts the railroad tracks. She stated it is her feeling that the City Council has not allowed citizens to be part of the process.

In response to a question from J. Starzynski, Ms. O'Rourke stated that serving alcohol at the train station is the change and that UP was clear that this was not to be allowed.

Mayor Sager stated that public information concerning the service of alcohol was presented previously when the lease was approved last December.

A discussion followed of the process and procedure of agreement to and execution of a lease agreement with UP with R. Schlossberg stating that all procedures have followed the Open Meetings Act.

Mayor Sager further noted that alcohol sales at the train station and the resultant issues have been thoroughly reported in the media multiple times, in addition to appearing on previously published Council Agendas and being discussed by the Council previously.

M. Saladin stated it is his opinion that the modifications to the UP lease are fairly minor and that the lease with Centerville Station has been discussed several times over the past few months,

which included a discussion of the sale of alcohol and the provision for video gaming. He further stated that the Council must also react to the needs of the business community and that continuing to delay this decision would not be good for the business in question.

Lisa Hanson, co-owner of the business located at 106 Cass Street, stated her opinion that Council has left the public out of the discussion and her feeling that the City should be more transparent. She expressed her opinion that both RFPs for the Train Station should have been publicly discussed, as was the case with the Old Courthouse RFPs. Without a public discussion of both RFPs, she feels that Council made the decision without public input.

Mayor Sager expressed appreciation for her comments. He then stated that since it was considered in December, the public has had a multitude of opportunities to come before Council or contact Council members in some way, yet Council has had very little input on this item. He further stated that this question has been out in the public for several months with regard to the original approval in December.

Addressing the issue of public discussion of both RFPs submitted for the train station, Mayor Sager then stated that it was indicated when the lease was considered in December that the other proposal provided for \$0 rent to the City and created concerns about viability of the proposed business.

M. Turner stated that comparing the Old Courthouse RFP, to the Train Station RFP, to buying salt are different things. He noted that Council did not discuss both RFPs detail-by-detail, just as it does not necessarily discuss every detail of every item on the agenda. But, he stated, it is incorrect to characterize this as Council not knowing or considering what was in both RFPs.

M. Turner stated it is his feeling that it is important to keep the train station open, viable, and in good shape and that Council analyzed the RFPs from a business perspective. He further noted that alcohol was previously sold at the train station when it was operated by TRAX and that UP's reaction was a surprise as the McHenry train station has had a restaurant that included the sales and service of alcohol for many years and now includes video gaming.

In response to comments from Ms. Hanson critical of the Consent Agenda, M. Turner stated that the agendas are published as required by the Open Meetings Act, and that any Council member or member of the public can request that an item be removed from the Consent Agenda for more in-depth discussion or comment.

In response to another statement from Ms. Hanson that she feels this issue was pushed through without public input, Mayor Sager stated that he has had many individuals contact him in support of the Centerville Lease and that it would be wrong to assume that only those individuals who attend the Council meetings express opinions.

Lydia Baltalbos, 629 Dean Street, asked what consists of a quorum.

Mayor Sager responded that a quorum of the six seated members would be four, meaning that four members would be required for action.

In response to further questioning by Ms. Baltalbos concerning a quorum, five vs. seven City Council members, and Council action, Mayor Sager stated that all six seated members of the City Council are present. R. Schlossberg noted that whether the Council has five or seven members is irrelevant, as the number of Council members is provided for by the City Code.

In response to Ms. Baltalbos' comment that the Council should not seat Mr. Hart, R. Schlossberg stated that the City Council does not have the discretion to not seat him, as he was duly elected.

Allen Stebbins requested clarification concerning UP's stance on the sale of alcohol at the train station.

Mayor Sager stated that Union Pacific has agreed to allow Centerville Station to sell packaged beer and wine for off-premise consumption, and low-alcohol beer and wine of .51% or less for consumption on premise and has also agreed to allow video gaming. He further noted that UP has agreed and understands that their agreement with METRA allows for alcohol on the METRA line. Mayor Sager then stated that UP has the right to change their mind and will review these provisions at any time during a three-year trial period.

Kelly Liebman, Wonder Lake, inquired about liability. In response, R. Stelford indicated that the City's proposed lease with Centerville Station requires that the City be indemnified and held harmless. He further noted that the City's current contract with UP regarding liability is not being modified. Discussion followed of liability coverage.

A roll call vote was taken. Ayes: M. Larson, M. Saladin, J. Starzynski, RB Thompson, M. Turner, and Mayor Sager. Nays: none. Abstentions: none. Absentees: none. Motion carried unanimously.

ITEM C – Amended Centerville Lease Agreement

R. Schlossberg noted that detailed information has been provided in the packet provided by her this afternoon concerning this item, addressing each of the possibilities which could have resulted from the negotiations with Union Pacific. In addition to addressing these possibilities, it is also necessary to ensure that the lease would not need to be revisited and that it is constructed to ensure that there is no violation of the conflict of interest provisions.

Ms. Schlossberg stated that the only other change is in Section D. Rent, Items 1 and 2, which now call for a percentage of alcohol at 0.51%. She also noted a scrivener's error on page 7 of 8 of the draft in which the terms "lessor" and "lessee" were transposed. In addition, Centerville waives all claims against the City.

Following Council's review of the amended agreement provided by Ms. Schlossberg, it was the suggestion of Mayor Sager and the consensus of Council that the following be added at the end of item D.2.ii. "or a combination of i or ii above."

Ken West, 1275 Westwood Trail, Woodstock, inquired as to why the change to 0.51% in alcohol content was made. Ms. Schlossberg stated that this is consistent with state statute and that alcohol content below .5% is not generally regulated. She stated that change was made to ensure that it could be enforced and the liquor license could not be challenged.

Kelly Liebman, Wonder Lake, requested clarification on whether the liquor license would be for 5.5% or .51% to which Ms. Schlossberg replied 0.51%. Ms. Liebman challenged that there is nothing in the lease which would prevent the sale of alcohol with a higher content to which Mayor Sager stated that this would be addressed in the Centerville Station liquor license which is the item that is next on the agenda for Council consideration. He stated that previously Centerville Station was approved for a Class E-4 liquor license and that changes to the parameters for the E-4 Classification will be considered with Item D, which would then change the parameters of Centerville Station's liquor license. He further noted, Item C, which is the item currently being discussed and considered, is for an amended lease for the train station.

Discussion followed of the three scenarios provided in the lease and how they affect the liquor license.

Lydia Baltalbos, 693 Dean Street, again discussed process. City Attorney Schlossberg again replied that this process satisfies the Open Meetings Act.

Motion by M. Saladin, second by M. Turner to adopt Ordinance 15-O-42, identified as Document No. 1, approving an amended Centerville Station Lease Agreement subject to final modification by the City Attorney as discussed this evening.

A roll call vote was taken. Ayes: M. Larson, M. Saladin, J. Starzynski, RB Thompson, M. Turner, and Mayor Sager. Nays: none. Absentees: none. Abstentions: none. Motion carried unanimously.

ITEM D. – Liquor License Amendment – Class E-4

Mayor Sager reviewed the changes being made to Class E-4 as a result of the negotiations with Union Pacific, the discussion this evening, and the items previously approved. He noted that the ordinance is being amended on its face to include an alcohol level of 0.51% to be consistent with all of the other documents discussed and approved this evening. He again noted that the information in the packet was printed prior to information being received from Union Pacific but that it was discussed in detail this evening and the agenda indicated that there would be changes considered for Class E-4. Mayor Sager noted that this is consistent with all previous discussions. He further noted that these changes to Class E-4 will make it a more restrictive liquor license.

Mayor Sager noted that the parameters currently in place for a Class E-4 liquor license:

“Permits the retail sale of beer and wine only, for consumption or use on the licensed premises, incidental to the sale of food, on premises of a specialty restaurant maintaining a small bar with eight (8) or fewer seats and the retail sale of beer and wine by package with no individual units sold.”

He then noted that the ordinance under consideration would change those parameters to:

“Permits the retail sale of non-alcoholic, low or very low alcoholic, (0.51% alcohol or less) beer and wine, with or without the service of food, for consumption or use on the licensed premises of a specialty restaurant maintaining a small bar with eight (8) or fewer seats, and the retail sale of beer and wine by package.”

Motion by M. Turner, second by J. Starzynski, to adopt Ordinance No. 15-O-43, identified as Document No. 2, amending Title 3, Chapter 3, Liquor Control, of the Woodstock City Code modifying the parameters of the E-4 Liquor License, as amended on its face at this meeting.

A roll call vote was taken. Ayes: M. Larson, M. Saladin, J. Starzynski, RB Thompson, M. Turner, and Mayor Sager. Nays: none. Absentees: none. Abstentions: none. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion by J. Starzynski, second by M. Larson, to adjourn and recess this meeting of the Woodstock City Council to 8:45PM at which time Daniel Hart will be seated. Ayes: M. Larson, M. Saladin, J. Starzynski, RB Thompson, M. Turner, and Mayor Sager. Nays: none. Absentees: none. Abstentions: none. Motion carried.

Meeting adjourned at 8:35PM to be reconvened at 8:45PM.

Mayor Sager reconvened and called to order this regular meeting of the Woodstock City Council at 8:45PM in the Council Chambers of Woodstock City Hall.

ADMINISTRATION OF OATH OF NEW CITY COUNCIL MEMBER

City Attorney Ruth Schlossberg administered the Oath of Office to new City Council member Daniel Hart. Mayor Sager welcomed Mr. Hart to the Council. Those present welcomed Mr. Hart with applause.

A roll call was taken.

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT: Daniel Hart, Maureen Larson, Mark Saladin, Joseph Starzynski, RB Thompson, Michael Turner, and Mayor Brian Sager.

COUNCIL MEMBERS ABSENT: None

STAFF PRESENT: City Manager Roscoe Stelford, Finance Director Paul Christensen, Public Works Director Paul Ruscko, Building and Zoning Director Joseph Napolitano, and City Attorney Ruth Schlossberg.

OTHERS PRESENT: City Clerk Cindy Smiley

FLOOR DISCUSSION:

Public Comments:

Michael Stanard, 1524 Hillside Trail, Woodstock, provided his credentials for those present. Mr. Stanard then stated it is his hope to convince the City Council to create an ordinance which would prohibit smoking on the Woodstock Square and in the area near the Square. He noted that it is his opinion that this would decrease the amount of cigarette litter on the Square and also would discourage loitering. Noting that all bars on Main Street also have entrances on Benton Street, it is his suggestion to allow smoking on Benton Street, within the bounds of state law. He has provided Council members with a map.

Mayor Sager thanked Mr. Stanard for his presentation and also for the map he provided indicating the area in which he feels smoking should be prohibited.

Mayor Sager then noted that smoking on the Square has been discussed by Council on previous occasions, stating that as a result of those discussions the City has provided cigarette litter receptacles at several locations, conceding that these do not seem to have worked. Mayor Sager suggested that the businesses on the Square be surveyed to determine their feelings about a smoking ban on the Square.

Following further discussion of a smoking ban on the Square, including enforcement, it was the consensus of the City Council that Staff facilitate a survey of the Square businesses.

Council Comments:

Noting that the hot summer months are approaching, RB Thompson asked if there is any thought on opening the Public Library on Sundays should it be needed for a cooling center.

In response, R. Stelford stated that the Administration will look into this and also noted that the Recreation Center and the Police Station are both open on Sundays. He further noted that if the library were opened on Sunday, it would be as a cooling center only as staffing issues would prohibit opening a full-service facility.

J. Starzynski noted that he recently attended the District 200 Strategic Planning Session, stating that he learned much about the District through this experience.

M. Larson thanked staff for arranging the Council tour of the new Woodstock Harley-Davidson prior to their grand opening.

RB Thompson summarized the Welles Fest which recently concluded.

Mayor Sager reminded everyone of Summer in the Park, July 15-19, and invited Council members to participate.

Additional Public Comments:

Lydia Baltalbos, 693 Dean Street, requested a definition of ad valorem. R. Schlossberg stated that it means a “per person” tax based on the value of personal property. Ms. Baltalbos stated she is concerned about the hidden cost of construction.

ITEM G-4 – PREVAILING WAGE ORDINANCE

M. Turner noted that he requested removal of this item from the Consent Agenda so that Council could look at it in more detail and the public be made aware of this law, which inflates the cost of City projects by 20-30%. He further noted that the Prevailing Wage Act is forced upon municipalities by the State of Illinois, stating it is a bad law and the City should be paying market wages rather than inflated wages.

M. Larson shared with those present a table which she compiled using Illinois Department of Labor numbers showing the national average, 90% of the national average, and prevailing wage in McHenry County for various labor positions. She noted that she cannot understand these figures and has not been able to determine how the Illinois Department of Labor arrives at the prevailing wage figures. Ms. Larson gave some examples of comparison of wages for some positions and the requirements for those jobs. She stated that, furthermore, the Prevailing Wage

may be different on one side of Route 14 from the other. She stated that the public should know that these are the prices the City is forced to pay for projects because of the Prevailing Wage Act.

M. Saladin noted that State statute requires the City to enact a Prevailing Wage Ordinance each year but noted that it is difficult to justify these figures. He stated that contractors are forced to pay these wages and the City pays the price. He further noted that the Legislature needs to understand the problems with this so he is inclined to vote “no” this evening.

Mayor Sager agreed that this is overly burdensome and he does not disagree with the comments made thus far, noting that it is difficult to understand how the prevailing wage figures are determined. He further stated he is not generally opposed to the prevailing wage law but has a lot of difficulty with how the figures are arrived at by the Department of Labor. He stated that this is a state issue, however; and the opportunity to change this law is through the state legislature. Further, he noted that the state law provides that the City has a legal obligation to pass the Prevailing Wage Ordinance. Mayor Sager stated that there are efforts being made at the State level and that the City supports this through the efforts of the City’s lobbyist and by providing information and expressing the City’s serious concerns to our state representatives. He is confident that these efforts will continue but noted that the City has an obligation to annually pass the Prevailing Wage Ordinance. He stated that Council members have taken an oath to uphold the laws of the State of Illinois and the Prevailing Wage Law is one of those laws. Mayor Sager noted that the City’s obligation to follow the laws of the State of Illinois was exemplified by the actions taken this evening in the seating of Mr. Hart.

J. Starzynski questioned why and how these wages became the law of the State of Illinois.

Mayor Sager noted that the law is to pass the Prevailing Wage Ordinance and the Department of Labor utilizes their formulas to designate the wages.

In response to a questions from M. Saladin as to what the effect and consequences to the City would be should the Council fail to pass the Prevailing Wage Ordinance this evening, City Attorney Ruth Schlossberg stated that the requirement is to pass the ordinance by the end of June. She stated if the ordinance is not passed by the end of June, the State does not have a penalty provision, but will have the ability to set the wages at the “prevailing wage rate.”

M. Turner noted that Council could defeat the ordinance this evening and bring it back for reconsideration at the 2nd meeting in June.

Mayor Sager expressed the hope that this does not happen, noting that the City has always followed the law and expressed the hope that the Council will continue to do so and to work within the body where this law rests.

M. Turner stated it is his opinion that those efforts have been enhanced by the efforts of the Woodstock City Council in questioning the prevailing wage. He further stated that he does not take his oath lightly, but feels that this issue warrants this consideration and that a protest vote may get attention and, perhaps, action.

Motion by RB Thompson, second by M. Saladin to approve an Ordinance, identified as Document No. 4, establishing prevailing wage requirements for the City of Woodstock.

Mayor Sager opened the floor to public comments.

Andrew Celantano, 2116 Greenview Drive, stated that the prevailing wage is bogus and will continue to be bogus until someone fights back. He stated his opinion that the numbers are made up for political reasons. He noted that the Council is the steward of the taxpayers' money but then is stymied by the Prevailing Wage Act, and expressed the opinion that failure to pass the ordinance would be a noise for the rest of the state to hear. In response to a question from Mr. Celantano, Mayor Sager stated that the City's lobbyist is working with lobbyists from other bodies to address the Prevailing Wage Law.

Mayor Sager noted that the current governor is concerned about the prevailing wage also. He stated that he understands Mr. Celantano's concerns but again stated that the Council has an obligation to uphold their oath. It is his feeling that the City should do everything possible within the system to change the law.

M. Larson stated her opinion that a bit of civil disobedience may be needed to bring attention to this issue, noting that there is another meeting in June to fulfill the obligation.

J. Starzynski stated that he would not consider voting no if he felt it would expose the City to any liability, but that does not seem to be the case.

Joseph Monack, 343 S. Jefferson, noted that the Council has already touched on several points he wished to emphasize this evening. He stated that he has spoken with representatives from Dorr Township who stated that the prevailing wage requirement increases the costs of projects about 30%. He noted that he has read about other governmental bodies which have voted "no" and have had no consequences. He urged Council to vote "no" this evening and again in two weeks if the ordinance is reconsidered.

A roll call vote was taken. Ayes: RB Thompson and Mayor Sager. Nays: D. Hart, M. Larson, M. Saladin, J. Starzynski, and M. Turner. Absentees: none. Abstentions: none. Motion failed 2-5.

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

There was no discussion of the future agenda.

ADJOURNMENT:

Motion by M. Larson, second by M. Turner, to adjourn the regular meeting of the City Council to the next regularly scheduled City Council meeting on June, 16, 2015 in the Council Chambers at City Hall. Ayes: D. Hart, M. Larson, M. Saladin, M. Turner, RB Thompson, J. Starzynski and Mayor Sager. Nays: None. Absentees: None. Abstentions: None. Motion carried.

Meeting adjourned at 9:43PM

Respectfully submitted,

Cindy Smiley
City Clerk